

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,994	08/09/2000	Howard Demehl	DERN-00101	5407
28960 HAVERSTOC	7590 12/28/2006 K & OWENS LLP		EXAM	INER
162 NORTH V	VOLFE ROAD		EXAMINER ALVAREZ, RAQUEL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3622	, RAQUEL
SUNNYVALE	E, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/635,994	DERNEHL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raquel Alvarez	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOSTATUTE, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	02 October 2006					
	This action is non-final.					
<u>'</u>	, _					
closed in accordance with the practice und	i i	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12,13,15-21,23,27-30,3</u> 4	4-36 40 41 and 43-51 is/are n	ending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		situally in the application.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12,13,15-21,23,27-30,34-36,40,41 and 43-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	<u>—</u>	by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			l(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eigh phonty under de d.e.e.	<i>y</i> 110(<i>a</i>) (<i>a</i>) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
_						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application —-				

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 10/2/2006.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-13, 15-21, 23, 27-30, 34-36, 40, 41 and 43-51 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-13, 15-21, 23, 27-30, 34-36, 40, 41 and 43-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over article titled, "recommend-it.com" in view of "How MileNet Works" hereinafter MileNet.

With respect to claims 1-4, 7-13, 15-16, 19, 27, 30, 34-35, 40-41, 43-49, 50-51, recommend it.com teaches a method of marketing comprising the steps of a first party recommending a marketable entity, the recommendation comprising forwarding of a first e-mail message to a second party, the first message comprising a personalized referral for the marketable entity and a first set of data, the first set of data comprising a first serial number and a first URL link to a first web site having an offer to transact for the marketable entity (i.e. the first user will send an e-mail to a friend or colleague detailing the site and would include a short description identifying the recommended software and personal annotation)(page 2); correlating the first set of data in the first e-mail

Art Unit: 3622

message to data within a database (page 2); updating the database with an e-mail address of a second party provided by the first party (pages 1-4); forwarding the first e-mail message to the e-mail address of the second party (see page 4).

With respect to the marketable entity being selected from a group consisting of goods and services. Recommend.it.com teaches recommending a particular software called WebDeck. Recommend.it.com doesn't specifically teach selecting from a group of goods or services. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to allow users to select different products or services in order to provide variety. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included selecting from a group consisting of goods and services in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to providing data related to a reward and providing the reward to the first party if the second party transacts the exchange from the marketable entity.

MileNet teaches a pyramid type of incentive wherein the first user will increase their MileNet points based on friends and family installing and using Milenet. By the second user using and installing MileNet in essence, he is transacting or performing an activity at the website. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included providing data related to a reward and providing the reward to the first party if the second party transacts the exchange from the marketable entity in order to motivate the users to increase their rewards based on their friends and families actions.

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 6, 17-18, 20, further recite that the link is accessible through a token in the form of a first icon visible in the message. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the computer related field to have a token in the form of an icon that is visible in the message in order to represent a function, object or program. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included a token in the form of a first icon visible in the message in order to make file navigations and manipulation easier.

Claims 21-23, 28-29, 36 further recite crediting an account within a database and recording the reward credited. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business related arts to credit an account because such a modification would provide an easy and efficient way to reward a customer. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included crediting an account within a database and recording the reward credited in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-13, 15-21, 23, 27-30, 34-36, 40, 41 and 43-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of contact

Art Unit: 3622

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raquel Alvarez Primary Examine Page 5

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 12/11/2006